



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/020,116		12/18/2001	Nobuyoshi Ando	500.40994X00	5809		
20457	7590	01/11/2006	EXAMINER				
	-	RY, STOUT & F	DINH, KI	DINH, KHANH Q			
SUITE 1800		NICENIH SIKEE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22209-3873	2151				

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/020,116		ANDO ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
			Khanh Dinh		2151					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun			t with the c		ddress				
Period for	Reply				·					
WHICH - Extension after SI - If NO pe - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ons of time may be available under the provisions ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months is patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMU i(a). In no event, however, ma I apply and will expire SIX (6) It tause the application to become	JNICATION by a reply be tim MONTHS from the ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status										
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>31 Oct</i>	tober 2005.							
·	•		action is non-final.							
3)□ S	,_									
cl	osed in accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex</i>	parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	n of Claims									
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ C	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.									
7) 🗆 C	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)∏ C	laim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election requirement.							
Applicatior	n Papers									
9)□ Th	e specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:			to by the E	xaminer.					
	oplicant may not request that any obje		• • •	•						
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1.121(d).				
	e oath or declaration is objected to									
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	knowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).					
-		documents	have been received			•				
•	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
	Copies of the certified copies				<u> </u>	Stage				
0.	application from the Internatio		-	en receive	u in tins National	Stage				
* See	the attached detailed Office actio			not received	1					
					-					
Attachment(s)										
``	f References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Intervie	w Summary /	PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notice o	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper N	No(s)/Mail Dai	e					
3) U Informat Paper N	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date	PTO/\$B/08)		5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/020,116 Page 2

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/2005 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and new claims 8-14 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 recite the limitation "the existence of a person". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parl et al (US 6259404) (hereinafter Parl) in view of Bertrand (US 5,552,989) (hereinafter Bertrand).

As to claims 1 and 4, Parl teaches an appliance located states, which are changed in independence on the existence of a person, accumulating method of accumulating data of a relation of positions where a plurality of appliances mutually connected through a network are located, comprising:

(a) receiving state information indicative of operating state changes of the appliances which include through said network [wherein information indicative of operating state changes is the signal sent by the object (subscriber), the ability to sent a signal indicates that an operating change has occurred (i.e. cell phone that was off is now turned on) (see abstract, fig.1, Col.1, lines 20-26 and col.6 lines 11-59)].

Art Unit: 2151

(b) calculating an occurrence time difference from occurrence times when the state changes have been detected as having occurred by differing ones of the appliances, in accordance with occurrence time information indicative of occurrence times of the state changes included in the state information (Col.1, lines 45-50 and col.7 lines 12-60).

Parl does not explicitly teach of:

(c) acquiring distance between appliances which incur the state changes based on the calculated occurrence time difference.

In an analogous art Bertrand teaches acquiring distance between appliances which incur the state changes based on the calculated occurrence time difference (see abstract, fig.3, col.3 line 16 to col.4 line 49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Bertrand) into Parl's teaching because acquiring the distances between appliances would aid in facilitating hand-offs in the event of a cell failure. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modifications because it would enable users to position themselves automatically in three dimensions and to observe the topography of places on the display screen in a communications network.

As to claims 2 and 5, Parl teaches of acquiring calculates the positional relation in accordance with the occurrence time difference of the state changes occurred in two appliances (Col 1, lines 45-50) and relationship weight information

Art Unit: 2151

indicative of a distance between the two appliances (col 19, lines 25-35).

As to claims 3 and 7, Parl teaches of relationship weight information is a value calculated by a predetermined expression in accordance with two elements: number of times of occurring the state changes', and the occurrence time difference of the state changes occurred in the two appliances (col 19, lines 35-57 and Col 20, lines 1-10).

As to claim 6, Parl teaches storing the occurrence time difference of the state changes occurred in the two appliances and the relationship weight information indicative of the distance between the two appliances (see col.12, lines 55-67 and Col 13, lines 1-10).

As to claim 8, Parl teaches an appliance located states method of accumulating data of a relation of positions where a plurality of appliances mutually connected through a home are located, comprising:

(a) receiving state information indicative of operating state changes of the appliances which include through a network, where the state change occurs responsive to existence of a person in the home in proximity of the appliance [wherein information indicative of operating state changes is the signal sent by the object (subscriber), the ability to sent a signal indicates that an operating change has occurred (i.e. cell phone that was off is now turned on) (see abstract, fig.1, Col.1, lines 20-26 and col.6 lines 11-59)].

(b) calculating an occurrence time difference from occurrence times when the state changes have been detected as having occurred by differing ones of the appliances, in accordance with occurrence time information indicative of occurrence times of the state changes included in the state information (Col.1, lines 45-50 and col.7 lines 12-60).

Parl does not explicitly teach of:

(c) acquiring distance between appliances which incur the state changes based on the calculated occurrence time difference.

In an analogous art Bertrand teaches on this aspect (see abstract, fig.3, col.3 line 16 to col.4 line 49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teachings of Bertrand) into Parl's teaching because acquiring the distances between appliances would aid in facilitating hand-offs in the event of a cell failure. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modifications because it would enable users to position themselves automatically in three dimensions and to observe the topography of places on the display screen in a communications network.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 2 and 3 respectively.

Claims 11-14 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claims 8, 9, 10 and 2 respectively.

Application/Control Number: 10/020,116

Art Unit: 2151

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Claims 1-14 are rejected.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Dinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3936. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.m. to 5:00 P.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung, can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh Dinh

Primary Examiner

Khanh Pomb

1/06/2006

Page 7